The Organizational Capacity and Viability Assessment Tool (OCVAT)

As adapted by the Elizabeth Glaser Pediatric AIDS Foundation (EGPAF)

February 2012





This publication was supported by Grant/Cooperative Agreement Number U62/CCU123541 from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of CDC.

The Organizational Capacity and Viability Assessment Tool (OCVAT) As adapted by the Elizabeth Glaser Pediatric AIDS Foundation (EGPAF), August 2010

Introduction: Conducting an OCVAT Assessment

Overview:

The OCVAT is a semiquantitative assessment tool. It can provide a good understanding of an organization's capacity across all necessary functions (i.e., highlight the key strengths and weaknesses), but it is not as sensitive as a traditional survey tool with lists of questions, nor is it as burdensome as a survey covering the same breadth of functions would be. One of its advantages is that it serves as a learning tool as well as an assessment tool when applied as a self-assessment, giving respondents a concrete image of higher levels of capacity to strive for and a deeper understanding of each other's view of the organization's capacity through the consensus-building process (see Scoring, below).

The tool is considered semiquantitative because indicators are scored on a scale. By providing a specific description of capacity for each potential score on the scale for each indicator, the tool reduces the subjectivity associated with traditional tools that ask respondents to rate indicators on a scale (e.g., from 1 to 10, with 1 and 10 generally defined) and increases reliability (reduces variability between raters). However, the descriptions are considered only a guide. Assessors are to select the stage they feel best describes the capacity of the organization for that indicator at that time, even if not every word in the description is true of the organization.

Structure:

The tool assesses 13 capacity areas. Under each capacity area a number of indicators are listed. For each indicator 5 stages of progress are defined.

Scoring:

The recommended implementation method for the OCVAT is as a facilitated self-assessment.

A staff group from the organization is selected to score each section. The same group may score all sections or different groups may be selected for various sections that pertain most to their job functions. The recommended

Page 2 Introduction

number of scorers per capacity area is 8 to 10. The facilitator ensures that everyone has a proper understanding of the indicators. After reading the indicators and associated stages of progress, each member of the group selects the stage he or she feels bests describes the current status of the organization for each indicator. Each stage of capacity is broken down into two levels: a lower range and a higher range. For example, the lowest stage ("No or minimal capacity. Not ready for transition. No chance of sustainability.") is broken down into two possible scores, 1 and 2. This allows each participant to adjust the score up or down within each capacity stage that the organization falls within for each indicator. The scores range from 1 to 10, corresponding to the 5 capacity levels.

	No or mini	mal	Emerging c	apacity	Minimal ad	cceptable	Good level	of	Excellent lo	evel of
	capacity		Transition	not	level of cap	pacity	capacity		capacity	
	Not ready	for	advisable		May be con	nsidered	Ready for t	transition	Ready for t	full
CATEGORY	transition			ce of	for transiti	on	of function	IS	organizatio	onal
CATEGORI	No chance	chance of		sustainability		Some chance of		ce of	independe	nce
	sustainabil	ity			sustainabil	ity	sustainabil	ity	Excellent c	hance of
									sustainabil	ity
SCORE	1	2	3 4		5 6		7 8		9	10

The scores are entered into a predesigned table in Excel, which automatically produces averages, medians, and graphs with the distribution of scores per indicator and capacity area. The facilitator analyzes the scores to find any indicators that have very disparate scores (e.g., some 2s and some 9s).

The facilitator leads the group in a discussion (usually the day following scoring) to analyze the basis of the disparate scores and come to a consensus.

External Validation:

The facilitated self-assessment may be coupled with an external validation or audit (more rigorous than validation). The facilitator or other external entity reviews documents, conducts interviews with key staff, and so on, as outlined in the validation steps for each capacity area. Based on this review, those conducting the external validation select the scores for each indicator they feel best represent the organization's status at the time.

Page 3 Introduction

The facilitator leads the group in a discussion to analyze the basis of any disparate scores (between the self-assessment and the external assessment) and come to a consensus. Ideally the organization staff members who scored the assessment and the external validators would be present at the discussion. If the external validation is completed ahead of the self-assessment and an experienced facilitator is leading, the consensus-building sessions may be combined. If they are combined, care must be taken not to lose the team-building and learning value of the initial consensus-building session for the self-assessment by jumping to the external validation scores too quickly.

Action Planning:

A summary of the results is shared. The facilitator leads an action planning session to identify priorities and specific actions to continue building the capacity of the organization based on the assessment results.

Page 4 Introduction

Capacity Area: Governance and Legal Structure

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Legal Recognition	The organization is a legally constituted entity, recognized by the host country government	The organization has no legally recognized status, any plans to obtain it. The have never been discussic about obtaining it.	re identified and are actively	Most but not all of the steps necessary to obtain legal status are actively being carried out.	All required documents pertaining to the legal status of the organization have been submitted to the authorities.	status.	Review a copy of the certificate of registration or incorporation, or a receipt for submission of documents
Governing Committee or Board	Organization has a governance body that meets and makes decisions to guide the organization's development	There is no governing committee, and there are formal meetings among leaders to make key decisions.		Meetings occur but not on a regular basis, and/or meetings occur but decisions are not regularly made.	but the meetings and	Regular meetings occur, with useful decisions made for the organization. All meetings scheduled for the past year have occurred, and minutes of the meetings are properly documented.	Review a copy of the minutes from the last two meetings of the governing board.
Constitution/Bylaws	Organization has a written constitution and/or bylaws accepted and approved by the governance body	There is no constitution, a it is not clear what rules govern the organization.	Some rules and principles are written down, but there is not a complete and comprehensive set of rules o a constitution.		generally used and followed most of the time.	, -	Review a copy of the articles of association and/or bylaws for the organization.
Accountability/ Integrity	Organization has policies/procedures in place to minimize conflicts of interest among leaders and staff through disclosure of conflicts	There are no policies/procedures in pla to control conflicts of inte among leaders and staff.		Policies/procedures aimed at minimizing conflicts of interest have been developed and they are applied some of the time.	Clear policies/procedures for minimizing conflicts of interest exist for leaders and staff, which (at minimum) require disclosure of conflicts and are applied regularly.		Review conflict of interest policies/procedures (e.g., staff code of conduct) and conflict disclosure forms for members of governance body.

Governance

Indicator Names	Indicator Not ready for transition No chance of		Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Mission and Values	Organization has a mission and set of	1 2 No clear mission or values have ever been discussed.	3 4 There is some informal	5 6 The mission and values are	7 8 The mission/values statements are clear and	9 10 The mission/values provide a clear and specific	Review mission statement.
	values that are clearly understood, agreed to, and approved by all the members of the organization, and these principles are followed	People not likely to agree if asked.	recognition among staff and volunteers as to what the mission and values are, but these have never been agreed upon or written down.	written down and provide a moderately clear or specific understanding of what the organization aspires to become or achieve. However, the document lacks specificity or clarity, or its principles are not widely held and rarely used to direct actions or set priorities.	provide a specific statement of what the organization aspires to become or achieve, are well known to most but	understanding of what the organization aspires to	Review organizational strategic plan to identify that the mission is stated, and concordant strategic objectives and goals are identified. Ask a cross-section of staff members (senior and junior) what they believe the mission and values of the organization are to see they match written document.
Transparency of Decision Making	There is a systematic process so that decisions are made by senior leaders and the governance body in such a way that all staff members are aware of and understand them	Important decisions affecting the organization made by the governance body or senior leaders are not communicated or explained to staff members and other stakeholders.	There is some formal or informal process or forum in which important decisions can be discussed. This forum is occasionally used this way, but decisions are most often not explained.	decisions made should be discussed and disseminated. The rules are followed and	There are written guidelines/rules of accountability and transparency, governing how decisions made should be discussed and disseminated. The rules are followed and corrective action is taken, not always but most of the time.	There is a formal and regular (at least quarterly) process in which leaders discuss decisions made. If the rules for discussion and dissemination are not followed, some form of corrective action is taken.	Inquire about communication mechanisms through staff meetings and expectations of department heads reagarding communication of decisions to staff. Review guidelines/rules of accountability and transparency.

Page 6 Governance

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Participation in Decision Making (Board / Senior Leadership with All Staff)	All members of organization feel that they have been sufficiently consulted and their concerns addressed for important decisions	The governance body or top executives make all important decisions on their own and without consulting others.	There is an informal process of consultation and/or delegation of decision making by top executive leadership and the governance body for important decisions with a few trusted colleagues, but not necessarily based on their position's relevance to the decision being made.	The governance body and executive leadership determine the individuals within and external to the organization who should be consulted based on the nature of the decision. The appropriate individuals are consulted for many important decisions, but there are periodic lapses.	Formal decision-making mechanisms are sometimes created (committees, procedures, etc.) for some decisions. For others, the governance body and executive leadership determine the individuals within and external to the organization who should be consulted based on the nature of the decision. The appropriate individuals are consulted for most of the important decisions.	There are formal decision-making mechanisms for several types of decisions (committees, procedures, etc.), and these are described in the organization's policy manuals. For others, the governance body and executive leadership determine the individuals within and external to the organization who should be consulted based on the nature of the decision. Claims of a lack of appropriate participation in decision making are rare.	Review relevant standard operating procedures. Ask for an example of an instance when staff input was sought for decision making. Ask for an example of a time when staff input was not sought for decision making.
Organizational Structure	There is a clear organizational structure in place, with clearly defined roles.	The organization has no formal structure. Department and/or key functions/responsibilities are not clearly defined and/or functions are not clear.	Departmental roles and responsibilities are not	The organization has a clear organizational structure relevant to its mission/goals. An organizational chart exists, but it is not detailed enough or not updated and disseminated regularly. Departmental roles and responsibilities are defined, but there are significant areas of confusion/overlap or the structure is not stable or is frequently changing (significant changes more than once a year).	The organization has a clear organizational structure that is well designed and relevant to its mission/goals; roles and responsibilities of departments and/or functions are mostly clear. The organizational chart is regularly updated and disseminated, and significant changes are not made more than once a year.	The organization has a clear organizational structure that is well designed and relevant to its mission/goals; roles and responsibilities of departments and/or functions are very clear. The organizational chart is regularly updated and disseminated. Significant changes to the organizational structure occur occasionally.	department roles are

Page 7 Governance

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minima Not ready fo No chance of sustainability	r transition f	Emerging ca Transition no Little chance sustainabilit	ot advisable of	of capacity	sidered for ce of	Good level of Ready for tr functions Good chance sustainabili	ransition of	Excellent leve Ready for full organizationa independenc Excellent cha sustainability	l al e nce of	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Succession Planning/	There is a	There is very s	U	U	lependence on	J	dependence on		ed dependence	There is reliand		Ask for a description of the
•	systematic process	dependence o		CEO/ED; orgai			anization would	on CEO/ED; o	J	dependence or		process for hiring new top-
•	for developing and		er or executive		ist without this		exist without this	would contin		clear successio		level executives. Review
	choosing new	director (CEO/	**		ence, but likely		sence, but likely	way without		smooth transit		whether performance
	leaders on a	organization w		in a very differ		, ,	erent form or	presence, but	J	leader could be		management systems
	periodic basis	exist without t	•	with significan	•	with significa	•		nd/or program	fundraising, op		include leadership
			re is no plan for		quality. There is		d quality. A plan	quality would		program qualit	•	development elements.
		how the organ		no plan or clea	•	exists for how		significantly o	0	continue witho	•	
		continue if the	•	advancement		U	will continue if		e current leaders	' · · · · ·		
		leaves. There i		organization,			eaves, and there			management t		
		development of	of new leaders.		he organization	are some par		promote and		during transitio	-	
				will continue i	the CEO/ED	advancemen			n exists for how	more members		
				leaves.			, but no member	organization			eam could take	
						of managem			EO/ED leave, but	on the CEO/ED	role if needed.	
						potentially to			of management			
						CEO/ED role	•	CEO/ED role.	ially take on the			
								CEU/ED role.				

Page 8 Governance

Capacity Area: Organizational Management

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Strategic Planning	Organization has a strategic plan (an all-encompassing document that guides its programming and aspirations)	The organization does not have a strategic plan.	The organization has a plan, but it is vague and does not reflect specific ideas of the vision, mission, and values of the organization; or does not address strengths and weaknesses, external environment, and client needs; or does not include priority areas, measurable objectives, and clear strategies; or is seldom used for management decisions or operational planning and is seldom reviewed after creation.		areas, measurable objectives, and clear strategies. It is regularly referred to for management decisions or	The organization has a written strategic plan that reflects its mission; is based on a review of strengths and weaknesses, the	Review strategic plan document. Ask about how the strategic plan has been distributed among staff and how it is used for programming. Review any departmental work plans that may be linked to the strategic plan.
Annual Organizational Work Plan Development	Organization plans the development of its activities, involving all relevant staff and stakeholders	The organization does not have an annual work plan. It responds to immediate needs with no planning of activities.	The organization seldom practices short-term planning (e.g., major events or monthly activities), and such planning is not done systematically or regularly.	indicators. However, planning is neither linked to a program budget nor developed with	practices work planning for programmatic activities with stated goals; measurable objectives and strategies; and stated timelines, responsibilities, and indicators. Planning is linked to the program budget and	The organization always practices a written work plan exercise for program activities with stated goals, measurable objectives and strategies, timelines, responsibilities, and indicators. The work plan is linked to the program budget and developed with participation of staff, has dates for quarterly reviews, and is always submitted on time. All activities are integrated with each other.	Review organizational/departmental work plans and note the date on which they were last updated. Ask key staff about the work planning process: how it is conducted, how often it is done, how the plan is distributed and linked to budgeting, and how often the work plans are used throughout the year to monitor progress.

Page 9 Organizational Mgmt

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Cross-departmental Communication Process	Organization has systems in place for holding regular cross-departmental meetings and communication of information across departments.	There is no plan to hold regular cross-departmental meetings (defined as meetings including all departments or selected departments by category—operations, program, etc.). Meeting are rarely held and/or poorly organized with no agenda. There are no other forms of communication (e-mail lists, letters, bulletins) across multiple departments.	There is a plan to hold cross-departmental meetings (defined as meetings including all departments or selected departments by category—operations, program, etc.), but they are seldom held and/or an agenda is seldom followed. Other forms of regular cross-departmental communication may have been tried but have not been continued.	Scheduled cross-departmental meetings (defined as meetings including all departments or selected departments by category—operations, program, etc.) are held more than half the time, and/or an agenda is followed more than half the time. At least one other regular form of communication (e-mail lists, letters, bulletins, etc.) across departments exists.	7 8 Scheduled cross-departmental meetings (defined as meetings including all departments or selected departments by category—operations, program, etc.) are held the majority of the time, and/or an agenda is followed the majority of the time. One or more other regular form of communication (e-mail lists, letters, bulletins, etc.) across departments exists.	followed. The organization uses	Review internal communication plan. If communication plan does not exist look for meeting agendas and communication strategies (e.g., distribution lists). Ask staff about how meetings are scheduled, regularity of key departmental meetings, dissemination of meeting notes, agenda creation, and other formalized communication channels.
Participation in Decision Making (within Units/Departments)	All members of each unit/department feel that they have been sufficiently consulted and their concerns addressed for important unit and department decisions	Unit/department leaders make all important decisions on their own and without consulting others. Decisions affecting the unit/department are not communicated or explained.	There is an informal process of consultation by unit/department leadership for important decisions with a few trusted colleagues, and/or some delegation of important decision making occurs. Staff ideas are seldom sought for making decisions, or decisions are not consistently explained.	Although there is a formal process of consultation (meetings/discussion) and/or a formal structure for delegation of important decisions, this process is only occasionally followed.	Staff ideas are regularly encouraged and incorporated into decisions. Meetings and discussions are held to explain decisions, but staff do not fully participate in the decisionmaking process.	Staff ideas are always sought, respected, and incorporated into the decision-making process. Staff members share a sense of responsibility, accountability, and ownership of the decision-making process for their respective units/departments.	Review relevant standard operating procedures. Ask for an example of an instance when staff input was sought for decision making. Ask for an example of a time when staff input was not sought for decision making.
Quality Improvement System	There is a process to use information-driven approaches to improve organizational learning and performance (at all levels—technical, programmatic, etc.)	There is no notion of quality improvement/assurance among managers. No efforts are made for annual review of the organization's performance.	There are some quality improvement plans/processes in place, but they are not systematically implemented and only few staff members have the knowledge/skills to undertake a quality improvement cycle.	Quality improvement processes are institutionalized but formally applied only in certain areas of the organization.	Quality improvement processes are implemented regularly across all departments of the organization. The findings are sometimes but not consistently acted upon. At least one external evaluation of a project is planned.	Quality Improvement/assurance is institutionalized in the organization's general operation. Process and outcome indicators are selected, measured, and used to inform the organization of its key operational issues and the effectiveness of its initiatives. The information from the quality improvement process is discussed at least annually by managers. Results of key changes agreed upon are regularly followed up. External evaluations of project(s) are undertaken and the results used to improve the program(s).	Review written records outlining the quality improvement processes/systems that the organization has outlined and examples of the most recent quality improvement cycles that have been undertaken.

Page 10 Organizational Mgmt

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minim Not ready fo No chance o		Transition no	nerging capacity of ansition not advisable Mittle chance of transtainability So su		eptable level sidered for e of Y			Excellent le Ready for f organizatio independer Excellent cl sustainabili	nal nce nance of	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Annual Work Plan Completion	The organization regularly checks progress against the work plan(s) and revises as necessary	The organizati check progress work plan(s) a throughout th plans are not r time througho	s against the t any time e year. The work revised at any		sst the work some years, or partments check t is not done	The organizati systematically progress again plan(s) in all di- least one time year and revis least by depar project.	checks ast the work epartments at through the es the plan(s) at	checks progr work plans (departments than one tim and revises t	ess against all lall s/programs) more through the yea the plan(s) at least ent or project.	progress aga quarterly and needed ever	inst all work plans d revises plans as	Compare latest project reports (annual report, semiannual report, data available) with work plan for the year.

Page 11 Organizational Mgmt

Capacity Area: Project Management

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Beneficiary Targeting	defines its beneficiaries; there is a systematic process to ensure that all	Beneficiaries are not defined or are loosely defined. Projects always try to reach the entire community without an analysis of how to target resources or activities toward those most in need.	The organization recognizes the need to formally define beneficiaries for each project and target resources and activities toward the defined groups, but this is not done for all projects.	The organization formally defines beneficiaries for each project but does not always target resources and activities toward the defined groups or measure whether they have been reached.		The organization formally defines beneficiaries for each project and systematically targets resources and activities toward the defined groups. The organization has a rigorous process for measuring to what extent the beneficiaries have been reached.	Review written records and plans/procedures for defining beneficiary by project, beneficiary involvement in program, and how beneficiaries are targeted.
Project Planning	Organization has clear objectives linked with interventions and a work plan for each project	The organization does not define clear objectives and interventions and does not have wrriten work plans for projects.	The organization sometimes defines project objectives and interventions, but often objectives and interventions are not effectively linked, objectives are too vague, or there is no work plan that can be used for effective management of the project.	The organization regularly defines project objectives and interventions that are effectively linked to specific project objectives, but either there is no work plan or the work plan is not being used to manage projects.	The organization regularly defines project objectives and interventions that are effectively linked to specific project objectives; it regularly develops a work plan operationalizing the project objectives and interventions. The work plan is inconsistently used for project managment.	The organization always defines clear project objectives that are linked with specific interventions to reach project objectives. The project objectives and interventions are operationalized in a written work plan. Work plans are consistently used to manage projects.	Review project management tools (e.g., timelines, Gantt charts, budgets, after-action review notes). Ask about how project management tools are used for improvement and how and when they are consulted during project implementation.
Project Budgeting	Organization practices project- level budgeting and financial monitoring and evaluation	The organization does not practice project-level budgeting. There is no collaboration between programs and finance.	Project budgets are seldom developed. Activities are driven by technical factors only, with only limited collaboration between programs and finance.	Project budgets are occasionally developed and monitored. Activities are driven by a combination of technical and cost factors. There is a moderate level of cross-functional collaboration for decision making. Program staff members are somewhat proactive in their requests for financial reports.	the end of each project to determine the accuracy of the	Project budgets are always developed, monitored, and corrected if overage or shortfall is seen. Budget-to-actual is always tracked and assessed at the end of each project to determine the accuracy of the budgeting exercise. Activities are driven by a combination of technical and cost factors. There is thorough collaboration between programs and finance, and a specific liaison exists in both departments.	Review project budgets and tracking of budget-to-actual spreadsheets.

Page 12 Project Mgmt

Capacity Area: Monitoring and Evaluation

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Development of Monitoring and Evaluation Plans	There are clear monitoring and evaluation (M&E) plans for each program or project; plans are linked to program objectives and interventions, and have well-defined indicators, data sources, and targets	There are no M&E plans or written documentation on how program/project performance will be measured.	and/or projects will measure performance for some programs/projects. However, documentation does not meet the criteria of an M&E plan (defined indicators, baselines, targets, data sources, etc.)	There is an M&E plan for most programs/projects, with indicators aligned to program/project objectives. But often the plan is not complete (missing some process/outcome indicators, numerator/denominator definitions, data sources, baselines, or targets).	There is an M&E plan for all programs and/or projects, with indicators aligned with project objectives. Most are complete, with numerators and denominators defined for process and outcome indicators that are aligned to program/project objectives, and with data sources, baseline data, and targets for all indicators.	plan for all programs and/or projects, with process and outcome indicators defined for all program/project objectives. All are complete, with numerators and denominators defined for process and outcome indicators aligned to	Review M&E framework with indicators, targets, and sources of data for each program/project.
Adequate Resources for Monitoring and Evaluation Systems	There are secure resources (staff, tools, etc.) to carry out M&E activities	There are no resources (staff, tools, etc.) for M&E and no formal strategy has been established to implement M&E activities.		Resources have been secured for M&E and the M&E unit has formal M&E experience/training.	There are secured resources devoted to M&E and a well-trained M&E unit is present. The organization has assessed M&E capacity at every level of the M&E system by conducting a needs assessment.	devoted to M&E and a well- trained M&E unit is present. The organization has assessed M&E capacity needs at every level of the M&E system. The results of the assessment are linked to an overall M&E plan, which describes strategies for data collection, management, and use, as well as data quality	Review annual M&E work plan and budget outlining M&E activities; M&E plan describing data collection, data management, and data use strategies; résumés of M&E staff; organograms of M&E staffing structure; M&E needs assessment results; training curricula for M&E personnel; new staff orientation package; and evidence of professional development activities/workshops related to M&E.

Page 13 M&E

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Monitoring Data Collection and Storage	Monitoring data are regularly and systematically collected and maintained	No monitoring data are collected or maintained on the performance of projects or activities.	The organization collects monitoring data on an irregular basis. There may or may not be a database or other system in which to store the data.	The organization collects monitoring data on a quarterly basis, though collected data may be incomplete. There is a database or system in place for storing data, though it may not be updated regularly to include new or corrected data.	are still occasional problems	9 10 The organization collects data on at least a quarterly basis. Data are always of good quality and collected on time. The organization has a database and data storage system that are fully functional and are always kept up to date.	Review overall database structure, mechanisms built into database that ensure data quality, documented methodologies for monitoring timely data submission, processes that allow correction of inaccurate data, standard operating procedures for data collection and aggregation, systematic repository for data storage (database or other types of systems), documented indicator definitions, data flow chart, standardized registers and monthly aggregation forms, mechanism for confidential storage of patient files, and schedules for supervisory visits.
Data Transformation	There is capacity to process and tabulate raw data into information that can be used for decision making and reporting to donors	There is no capacity to transform or aggregate data so that it can be used for decision making or reporting to donors.	Data are somewhat frequently pulled, but there is no capacity to automatically aggregate or format data for analysis or reporting to donors.	Data are regularly pulled and can be aggregated or transformed in a format for analysis (e.g., trends, summary tables) and donor reporting, but only after significant manipulation, which does not occur regularly.	Data are regularly pulled and can be aggregated or formatted for analysis and reporting to donors after minor manipulation, and/or this transformation takes place some of the time.	Data can automatically or easily be pulled and formatted for analysis and donor reporting each quarter.	Review mechanisms to transform data, whether from databases or paper sources, into aggregate-level information; mechanisms that allow data to be automatically formatted in ready-to-use ways; all reports produced by the database; and charts, graphs, and tables produced.
Decisions Informed by M&E Data	M&E data are systematically used to inform program and management decisions	The organization does not use M&E data. If data are collected, this is only done for donors that require the data.	M&E data are generally not reviewed by any level within the organization or discussed except a handful of times in an informal capacity.	M&E data are sometimes shared with appropriate staff and affiliates (site-level staff), and/or are sometimes discussed at management meetings, but this is not a regular occurrence and there is no expectation that it will occur or system to ensure that it does occur.	M&E data are usually shared with appropriate staff and affiliates and/or discussed at management meetings (at least quarterly). There are usually meetings held and action steps determined based on the recommendations from the evaluation reports. Project evaluations are discussed internally and with stakeholders to determine lessons learned and help inform future actions. However, there are still occasional gaps in frequency or quality.	Project/activity monitoring reports are regularly disseminated to appropriate staff members and affiliates, regularly presented to managers (at least quarterly), and discussed to determine what actions need to be taken. Project evaluations are always discussed internally and with stakeholders to determine lessons learned and help inform future actions.	Review data use plan; evidence that data use activities in the plan are carried out; data analyses conducted; management reports and other reports produced that include data; mechanisms to distribute and discuss data at site, district, and other relevant levels (e.g., PowerPoint presentations); notes from meetings held to discuss program performance data at country office, site, or other relevant levels; abstracts/papers submitted to conferences; and any work plans that reflect activities that respond to decisions made based on the data.

Page 14 M&E

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or mir Not ready No chance	y for tr		Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of y sustainability		capacity May be transition Some ch	May be considered for f transition			Ready for transition of functions Good chance of			Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability			/alidation (Please not this column may not b exhaustive)	
	Scores	1		2	3		4	5		6	7		8		9	10		
Data Quality Assurance	Data quality is measured systematically and on a routine basis, and quality issues identified are rectified	to ensure of concept of	data qu f data qı	ems in place ality and no uality M&E staff.	Data qual important only a few implemen assurance attempts unsystem occur rare	t, but the attemnt data of activit have be attic and	nere have been opts to quality ies. These een	have bee including routine d	n imple the ado ata qua lity audi	ption of lity checklists. ts occur only a	adoption quality ch These act	have nalize tion's ns, inc of ro necklistivitie ically	been ed in the general cluding the outine data ists and audits. es occur every quarter,	activitinstitu organ opera adopt qualiti Activitievery identificallow appro	ies havitionalitication, ir ion of y checkies oc quarter fied ar ied up priate and to	assurance we been ized in the a's general ncluding the routine data klists and audits. cur systematically er. Quality issues re promptly on and interventions are correct them	audit/asses place, docu issues/outo supportive data quality documente standard op documente	a quality checklists, assmemt procedures in umented data quality comes, notes from supervision visits, other y measures in place, ed indicator definitions, perating procedures, and evidence that data les are being followed upaired.

Page 15 M&E

Capacity Area: Technical Capacity

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
New Staff Orientation to Job Functions (See Human Resources for overall organizational and operations orientation)	Organization has a systematic process for orienting new staff members to the responsibilities pertaining to their specfic positions	occur at all, happen completely	orientation and/or training, but the	5 6 Most staff members receive a formal orientation and/or training, but the breadth and quality of the orientation is not uniform across the organization.	7 8 The systematic orientation of new staff members is in the process of being established. Staff members feel comfortable asking questions and following up on issues that are unclear.	9 10 Initial orientation and/or training is comprehensive and all new staff members receive the same level of orientation.	Review job descriptions, orientation procedures.
Staff Development and Training	The organization has formal systems and processes for staff training and development	There is no attention to staff training and development.	Training is offered on an ad hoc basis but is not based on a formal assessment of organizational needs or staff development objectives.	The organization is starting to formalize its training and development function; there is an annual training budget, but this may not be based on a clear plan.	The organization more and more implements staff training based on assessed needs and inputs from staff members based on their development objectives. Ad hoc, unplanned training is rare. There is a plan or at least clear policies for training, which managers and staff members can refer to. There is an annual training budget.	Training and development is a valued part of the organization, and opportunities are developed for staff based on clear needs assessments with inputs from staff members. The training budget matches the training policy and plans. Training activities are evaluated for effectiveness.	Review training and development plan, and documented activities.
System for Communicating Technical Updates	Organization has an established system for communicating pertinent technical updates to all staff: Examples include emails to staff, discussion groups, and internal newsletters	No formal mechanism is in place to communicate updates, and updates happen only by word of mouth.	A process has been started to put systems in place to ensure regular technical updates to staff.	Systems are in place for technical updates and have been used several times.	Systems are in place for technical updates and are regularly utilized by staff members.	Formal, established systems are in place and utilized to communicate relevant technical updates to all relevant staff. The system has been assessed and improved upon over time.	Review written process for providing technical updates to staff members.
Access to Technical Resources	All technical/program staff members have access to and use technical resources necessary for their work	No formal mechanism is in place for access to technical resources, and technical resources are only accessed through individual initiative. National policies and guidelines are not available to staff members.	A process has been started to put a system in place to ensure access to technical resources. National policies and guidelines are available but not regularly used by staff members.	Access to technical resources is in place and most staff members utilize the resources. National policies and guidelines are regularly utilized by staff members. Where appropriate, staff members participate in relevant national working groups and advisory boards.	Access to technical resources is in place and utilized by all relevant staff members. Where appropriate, staff members participate in relevant national working groups and advisory boards. Staff members sometimes share updates from the groups with other staff members. National policies and guidelines are regularly utilized by staff members.	Access to technical resources is in place and utilized by all relevant staff members. Leadership has made an attempt to assess/improve the system. Where appropriate, staff members participate in relevant national working groups and advisory boards. Staff members actively share knowledge and updates from these groups with other staff members in the organization. National policies and guidelines are regularly utilized by staff members, and staff members are part of updating/revising these documents.	Review mechanisms to provide staff members with technical resources.

Page 16 Technical Capacity

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Financial Accounts/ Bookkeeping	Organization keeps accounts of money that can be presented on demand	1 2 No accounts are kept.	Records are kept of money received and spent, but it is difficult to know how much money is held at any one time because there is no systematic process for keeping records up to date.	A basic/generic accounting system is in place, which tracks expenditures, inflows, and outflows. Individual receivables and payables are tracked, reviewed, and reconciled on a regular basis. External audits may have taken place, but not regularly, and have not been acted upon systematically.	Accounts are kept up to date and reconciled, and balances and statements are prepared at the end of the year. However, not all generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) standards are followed consistently, and there are minor inaccuracies and incomplete record keeping. The office undergoes an annual audit by an independent accounting firm and receives an unqualified opinion.	The office has adopted an accounting system that is fully compliant with local business standards. Accounting entries are made on a daily basis, based on established accounting procedures, requiring prior approval and review of expense coding. Financial statements are prepared monthly (or as required by local law). The balance sheet completely and accurately reflects the position of the office at any given time. The office obtains an independent audit every year and no critical findings have been noted in the last audit report.	Perform external/internal audit and/or international accounting visit / compliance assessment.
Internal Controls	Organization has designed appropriate internal controls, and controls are operating effectively	No internal controls govern financial transactions in the office. Cash is readily available to any and all requestors and there is no segregation of duties.	Basic internal controls have been designed (multiple approvals are present on payment requests, cash reconciliations are attempted), but these are not operating effectively on a consistent basis. Some differences in reconciliations are investigated, and some segregation of duties exists in major areas, but it is easy to override the system that has been designed (informal processes are frequently used).	Basic segregation of duties exists and a standard format for financial requests is in place. Authorized approvers/signatories are recognized, though not in formal policies. Cash reconciliations are performed monthly, with discrepencies investigated. Respect for formal processes for transactions and disbursements is the norm.	Written procedures that adequately safegaurd assets against theft/fraud are in place. The office has no aged staff receivables older than 90 days. Staff members are routinely trained in internal controls. External audits are performed and findings are minor. Cash reconciliations are performed more frequently than monthly. Informal processes to authorize transactions and disbursements are exceptional.	Advanced internal control procedures are in place and documented. Staff members are required to attend a fixed number of external trainings in internal controls. The financial team feels confident in preventing any informal disbursement and transaction processes, even from the highest echelons of the organization.	Review accounting policies and procedures; perform external/internal audit and/or international accounting visit / compliance assessment.
Payroll System	Organization is able to correctly calculate payroll as well as remit all appropriate amounts to employees and to the taxing authority	No payroll system is in use. Time sheets are not completed. Employees are paid in cash. Mandatory taxes are not withheld/remitted.	Manual payroll system in place, with no reconciliation procedures. Employees are frequently incorrectly paid.	Organization utilizes some form of automated payroll system, but the results are never cross-checked, recalculated, and reconciled with source documentation. Errors are uncommon but do occasionally occur, and may not be followed up on immediately. Time sheets are maintained and systems are in place to require them prior to salary payment.	Organization uses an established automated payroll system and reconciles payroll amounts on a monthly basis. Tax remittances are recalculated and independent consultants are brought in to test the accuracy of payroll tax calculations. Errors occur but are immediately investigated.	Organization is in complete compliance with the host country's payroll legislation. Professional pay slips are distributed to staff monthly and reliably. No fines or penalties have been assessed to the organization in a 24-month period.	Review payroll policies and procedures; perform external review by payroll consultant and international accounting visit / compliance assessment.

Page 17 Financial Mgmt

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Accounting Policies and Procedures	Scores Organization has documented all applicable policies and procedures	The organization has no documented/governing policies and procedures. Systems do not exist. Procedures are ad hoc.	The organization has some documented accounting policies, but no procedures. In addition, the policies are either out of date or incomplete. No policies exist for bank safeguards, petty cash management, expense reports, advances, and so on.	5 6 Accounting policies and procedures have been written and appropriately compiled, but staff have not been fully trained and therefore frequently and unknowingly violate them.	Accounting policies and procedures have been written and appropriately compiled, and most staff are aware of them. In addition, most staff consistently follow the documented policies and procedures. However, no plans exist to update them and many are slightly out of date.	9 10 An accounting policies and procedures manual is updated annually, or more frequently, as needed. Staff members are continually trained in its principles. All staff members consistently adhere to the principles outlined in the manual and are knowledgeable about its contents.	Review accounting policies and procedures; perform external/internal audit and/or international accounting visit / compliance assessment.
Bank Account	Organization has a secure bank account to hold its funds	No business account is maintained. A staff member's personal account is used for operating funds.	A bank account is registered in the organization's name, though a dual signatory structure is not in place. The office does not maintain a list of authorized individuals who are approved to conduct bank business on behalf of the organization. There is little or no control over blank checks and access to statement information. The bank accounts are not reconciled on a regular, recurring basis.	A simple system of controls governs access to bank account funds. Dual signatures are required for transactions exceeding a predetermined threshold, and access to bank accounts is generally limited. Bank accounts are reconciled on a monthly basis. Electronic transfers are not used and most transactions occur by check.	governs access to bank funds. Online banking is utilized, with electronic payments booked as electronic batches and released by	A strong set of internal controls governs access to bank funds. A check log is kept on a periodic basis. The person printing the checks is not the same person who reconciles the bank statement. Bank statements are sent to, opened by, and reviewed by someone outside of the accounting department, and check registers are reviewed by people outside of the check printing process to ensure that checks are not being sent to fictitious vendors. Online banking is utilized (when possible), with a dual authorization process in place. Bank accounts are reconciled each month within five business days after the end of the previous month.	Review last three bank statements; review external/internal audit and/or international accounting visit / compliance assessment.
Record Keeping	Organization maintains organized and standardized supporting documentation for every expenditure	No accounting files exist. Vouchers are not used to document expenses. Office has no requirement for keeping original receipts/invoices.	Receipts and invoices are needed to justify any use of money, and these are kept on file but are rarely reviewed by anyone. The files do not demonstrate the use of standardized forms illustrating the prior request/approval of expenses. A structured filing system is not implemented.	The office has informal filing system guidelines and those guidelines are implemented. Standardized voucher, purchase request, purchase order, and petty cash forms are in use. Prior approval and general purpose are documented for most expenses. Routine office audits of the files are never performed.	The office has documented filing system guidelines, which clearly illustrate the documentation requirements for each transaction. Standardized forms are in use. Memos are present in the files (where appropriate). Occasional office audits of the files are performed.	The office has a very organized set of records and follows all guidance in the record and retention policy. Sensitive files are kept in locked cabinets, and office audits are performed on a periodic basis. All journal entries are properly supported by appropriate documentation, and all transactions have been properly reviewed and approved by authorized individuals. An organized, accurate record exists of all files kept off-site (if any). Invoices are scanned into the general ledger system so as to allow for easy review of historical transactions.	Review accounting files, records management policies and procedures (may be part of accounting policies and procedures), and external/internal audit and/or international accounting visit / compliance assessment

Page 18 Financial Mgmt

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Organizational Budgeting and Financial Planning	Scores Organization prepares, monitors, and updates its corporate budget on a regular basis, with input from all departments	A corporate budget is not prepared; financial planning is ad hoc and based on obsolete or inaccurate data.	A corporate budget is prepared, based on availability of existing resources and revenue forecasts, with limited input from relevant departments. The budget is not updated during the year and rarely consulted as a planning tool; there is little budget-to-actual analysis.	The corporate budget is presented annually for review and approval to a board or representative group of members. The budget is based on reasonable forecasts with moderate input from relevant departments and is updated occasionally during the year. Limited budget-to-actual analysis is performed. There has been limited progress in developing a long-term financial plan based on strategic priorities and program targets.	The budget is reviewed and approved by the board, updated during the year, and compared with expenses and planned spending to make sure there will be sufficient resources to sustain the organization. All relevant department units actively participate in the budgeting process. A long-term financial plan is developed, based on strategic priorities and program targets, and is used as a planning tool to anticipate future funding gaps and identify strategies for resource diversification.	The budget is reviewed and approved by the board, and updated during year; budget-to-actual analysis is conducted on quarterly or monthly basis. Departments are consulted regularly to track spending against fiscal-year budget. A standard budget template/tool has been developed. Budgeting is a cross-functional, participatory process from beginning to end. Long-term financial planning models are developed, based on strategic priorities and program targets, and these are regularly consulted as a planning tool to anticipate future funding gaps and identify strategies for resource diversification.	Review corporate budget, budgetary information and financial plan as submitted to the board of directors, and strategic plan and linked budget.
Management of Donor Agreement Budgets	Organization prepares, reviews, and updates donor agreement budgets consistently and accurately	Budgets are prepared at the request of the donor. Budgets do not link to organization's chart of accounts, and are submitted late to donors with inaccurate information and errors. There is no dedicated staff member to track and analyze spending on incoming agreements.	Budgets are prepared in a timely manner for every proposal, but with some errors. No collaboration between finance and program staffs. Budgets are not revisited once funds are secured. There is no dedicated staff to analyze and track donor budgets. Donor budgets do not link to organization's chart of accounts.	Detailed budgets are prepared that meet minimum donor requirements. Collaboration between finance and program staffs is limited to final stages of budget development. Some effort is made at mapping the donor budget to the organization's chart of accounts. Internal financial reports to track spending on agreement are infrequently generated (less than once a quarter). There is no dedicated staff to track spending on agreement budgets.	program staffs from beginning of budgeting process. A focal person in the finance department is assigned responsibility for coordinating the budgeting process and tracking spending, and this person receives training in the specific donor's rules and regulations. Quarterly budget-	Detailed and clear budgets are prepared that meet and regularly exceed all donor requirements, with strong collaboration between finance and program staffs from beginning of budgeting process. Dedicated staff person(s) in finance department is assigned task of coordinating budgeting process and tracking agreements, and this person receives training and orientation on donor rules and regulations. Monthly budget-to-actual and pipeline reports are generated to better track spending. Increased experience working with multiple donors.	Review budgets for agreements and pipeline reports; ask about use of reports and budgets for planning.

Page 19 Financial Mgmt

 Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Organization provides	The organization has no	- '	Some reports get submitted	Reports are submitted on time and	'	Review financial reporting
 • • • •		experience with submitting	punctually, but sometimes with	meet, and occasionally exceed,	l' '	policies and procedures.
financial reports as	financial reports.	donor reports. Past financial	incomplete information, and the	donor requirements. Designated	- 1	Review last two financial
required		reports have frequently been	donor often has to follow up with	staff members are well trained in	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	reports submitted to donor.
		submitted late, with inaccurate	clarifying questions or request more	multiple donors' reporting	members are well trained in all	Ask staff about training
		and/or obsolete information	accurate information. Basic training	requirements, rules, and	donors' reporting requirements,	provided on donor reporting.
		and little understanding of	is provided to designated staff on	regulations. Country leadership and	rules, and regulations, and are able	
		relevant donor rules and	key funders' reporting	program/A&C staff, as appropriate,	to respond to ad hoc requests for	
		regulations. Country	requirements, rules, and	understand and give input into all	additional financial reports in a	
		management and	regulations. Input is sought from	relevant parts of the financial	timely manner. Country leadership	
		program/awards and	country leadership and	report.	and program/A&C staff are closely	
		compliance (A&C) staff are	program/A&C staff prior to the		consulted and are well informed	
		rarely consulted or provide	finalization of a financial report.		about all important donor financial	
		minimal review of financial			reports.	
		reports prior to submission.				

Page 20 Financial Mgmt

Capacity Area: Human Resources

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Management Capacity (Staff, Plan, and Budget)	The organization has an adequately resourced human resources (HR) function, with a professionally qualified HR management (HRM) staff, and clear plans and budgets for HR activities. The HR function plays a valued and strategic role. Capacity definitions are based on the following standards for ratio of HR professionals to staff: Fewer than 40 staff = parttime focal person; 40–60 staff = 1 HR staff; 60–100 staff = 2 HR staff; 100–160 staff = 3 HR staff; more than 160 staff = 1 HR staff member per each additional 80 staff members.	There are no staff members specifically charged with HRM. Many critical HR tasks are left undone. There is no HR plan or budget for HR activities.	There may be an HR focal person assigned, but either not enough of the person's time is devoted to HR or this is significantly less than half the number of HR staff the organization should have based on its size. A basic HR plan may exist, but is not based on a formal assessment of organizational goals, staffing needs, and the like; the plan may not be supported by an appropriate budget.	The organization has at least half the recommended ratio of HR professionals to staff members for its size. An annual HR plan exists, based on a formal assessment, but there are no clear mechanisms to monitor and evaluate the plan. There is a limited budget for basic HR activities.	The organization has at least 75% of the recommended ratio of HR professionals to staff members for its size. There are experienced HRM staff members who participate in strategic decisions. A detailed annual HR plan exists and is largely implemented. There is a defined budget for HR activities (training, systems development, etc.).	HR is a valued and respected contributor, and plays a key role in the strategic management and development of the organization. The organization has a comprehensive, structured HR plan supported by appropriate budget resources, which is implemented, evaluated, and used for long-range planning. The recommended ratio of HR professionals to staff members is strictly observed. A detailed report of HR activities and achievements is produced each year.	Review staffing structure, check for existence of HR plan and budget; ask about participation of HR in strategic management and training of HR staff.
Staff Roles and Responsibilities	Staff roles and responsibilities are clearly written and well understood	Staff members lack job descriptions and are unclear on their key responsibilities. Reporting lines are unclear.	Staff members may have rudimentary job descriptions, but these do not provide details of key responsibilities, essential job functions, and reporting relationships. Staff members are not all clear on their roles.	responsibilities, essential job	All key positions are filled by qualified, experienced, and competent personnel on the basis of the staffing structure and clear job descriptions and profiles. The organization is aware of any skills gaps and draws on qualified external consultants and advisors to support as necessary.	, ,	Review job descriptions for at least five employees as well as staff understanding of roles and responsibilities. Ask about how skills audits are performed to address gaps.

Page 21 Human Resources

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
HR Policies and Procedures	The organization has detailed formal HR policies and procedures	No HR policy manual exists. Employees do not have an employee handbook.	Policy manual does exist but it is out of date and does not include all of the relevant and important policies. Employees have an employee handbook, but this may be out of date.	but it is not always rigorously	available, and they serve as reference guides to all HR decisions. The manual includes detailed policies and procedures around recruitment, orientation,	1	Review HR policy manual and employee handbook. Assess level of managerial understanding of key policies by asking HR personnel about key policies and how manual is updated and disseminated.

Page 22 Human Resources

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
HR Compliance and Essential Documentation	Scores The organization is fully legally compliant, and meets donor and EGPAF compliance and documentation requirements	The organization is not familiar with legal or donor compliance requirements. Essential HR documentation (e.g., contracts, reference checks, salary documentation) is not consistently in place or not properly organized.	Some basic documentation is in place, but documents are often out of date. There is no systematic process for ensuring required documents are in place. Little attention is paid to compliance in practice.	documentation is in place, although some documents may be out of date, and there are gaps in the processes for	All essential HR and legally required documentation is in place. The organization maintains an attorney on retainer or call for compliance purposes. The organization spends time briefing staff on compliance issues such as conflict of interest.	HR compliance is regularly monitored and reviewed using a compliance checklist. Compliance training is provided to staff in areas such as workplace conduct, diversity, ethics, and harassment.	Conduct compliance assessment and review HR files.
HR Data and Personnel Files	The organization has up-to- date, accurate, and protected employee data, and maintains an electronic HR information system	No individual employee files or records exist. There is no systematic collection or storage of HR data. There has been no effort to electronically store or manage HR data.	Some or most, but not all, personnel files are in place, but these are not regularly updated. Basic HR data are collected from time to time, but there are no standard systems for data management or reporting. The organization cannot efficiently generate detailed employee reports on request.	Personnel files for all employees are maintained and kept up to date. Files contain all documents stipulated in a detailed personnel file checklist. Most HR data are available and current; however, the organization has not moved to computerize its data and does not use data as a strategic tool.	All files are in place and there are clear policies regarding confidentiality and employee access to files. All HR data are available; systems for collecting and reporting data are in place. Data are used in HR planning and forecasting. The organization is starting to computerize data.	The organization has an integrated computerized HR information system. Staff members are trained in the system. All data files are complete.	Review personnel files and existence of a signed personnel file checklist for each file. Review HR information systems, if any. Look for ability of organization to generate detailed HR reports. Review relevant HR policies/procedures.
Employee Relations and Staff Welfare and Morale	The organization has mechanisms to promote good employee relations and ensure high staff morale	There are no mechanisms to promote sound employee relations and staff morale/welfare. Staff morale may appear low.	Staff concerns are dealt with on an ad hoc basis.	The organization has basic internal complaints, grievance, and disciplinary procedures; however, these are not always consistently followed. Managers may lack training in handling employee concerns.	There are formal channels and procedures for airing and addressing employee concerns. The organization is looking for ways to enhance staff morale (e.g., motivating events, fun committees, award ceremonies). Staff health, safety, and welfare are considered.	The organization is systematically seeking to enhance staff motivation and morale as well as the working environment. Climate/morale surveys are conducted regularly and acted upon. Managers are trained in handling employee concerns and discipline issues effectively. The organization offers work-life balance programs. There are functioning staff safety and welfare committees.	climate / morale surveys,

Page 23 Human Resources

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Staff Satisfaction	Staff members feel satisfied	There is no system in place to	There have been informal	There is a system in place for	There is a system in place for	There is a system in place for	Review process/procedures
	and well treated by the	determine if staff members	discussions among managers	determining staff/volunteer	determining staff/volunteer	determining staff/volunteer	for assessing staff
	organization	and volunteers are satisfied	about the conditions of work	satisfaction (e.g., meetings in	satisfaction (e.g., meetings in	satisfaction (e.g., meetings in	satisfaction, formal input
		with work conditions. Such	for staff members and	absence of supervisors,	absence of supervisors,	absence of supervisors,	given by staff, and evidence
		concerns are not discussed	volunteers as problems or	surveys, interviews). There	surveys, interviews). Action is	surveys, interviews) that is	that recommendations
		among managers.	complaints have arisen. But	are examples of information	usually taken to improve	used consistently. The results	have been incorporated.
			there is no system for	being acted on in the past.	based on the feedback. There	usually indicate satisfaction.	
			regularly collecting this		are clear examples from the	When they do not, action is	
			information or acting upon it.		last two years of action	always taken to improve in a	
					following information.	timely manner.	

Page 24 Human Resources

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Remuneration System	A formal remuneration policy, job classification system, and salary scale are in place	The organization has no defined remuneration policy, salary scale, or job classification system. Salaries are paid in an ad hoc manner. Essential benefits such as medical and other insurances, and pension/provident fund are not offered.	The organization has its own internally developed salary scale and grading structure, but it is unevenly applied, incomplete, and not benchmarked against external best practices. Not all essential benefits are available.	Most aspects of a formal remuneration system are in place, and most are in line with external/EGPAF best practice. Salaries are mostly, but not always, paid in line with the defined salary scale.	The organization has a clear salary scale. Job grades are logical and consistent. All national positions are graded. Staff members understand the grading structure and mechanism. Salary and grading adjustments are carried out only with board approval. The organization has appropriate medical and other required insurances in place.	The organization has an effective, up-to-date remuneration policy and system that allows it to attract and retain qualified staff. Salaries are regularly benchmarked against external market rates. The organization is competitive vis-à-vis its competitors (at least 50th percentile).	Review remuneration policy, salary scale, and actual salaries as budgeted for payroll.
Recruitment	There are effective systems to support high-quality staff recruitment	No formal recruitment exists. Recruitment is done haphazardly (e.g., by personal contacts). Vacant positions are usually not advertised.	A formal recruitment procedure is being developed, and the organization is striving to formalize and structure its practices. Recruitment is often unplanned and unstructured.	A formal written recruitment procedure exists and is followed for most or all positions. Recruitment is based on clear competency profiles to ensure the right candidates are selected. The organization is using tools such as interview checklists to ensure consistency in selection panels.	A formal written recruitment policy and procedure exists, and it is followed and monitored. It specifies recruitment steps, approvals needed, and responsibilities and authorities. Recruitment processes are clearly documented and on file for audit purposes.	The organization is utilizing innovative techniques to widen its recruitment pool (e.g., building linkages with universities; involving senior management, HR officers, and peer networks) and to improve its selection quality (e.g., using tests, combining perspectives of different staff members, being thorough in checking references). Managers are trained and skilled in interview techniques.	Review recruitment policy and procedures; review recruitment files and documentation.
New Staff Orientation, Organizational and Operational Overview	There are effective onboarding procedures in place to orient staff to the office and general operational procedures of the organization	There are no formal onboarding procedures. New staff are oriented ad hoc.	There is some attempt at formal onboarding, but it is minimal and not carried out consistently with all new employees.	There is a basic list of onboarding steps carried out with all new employees, but it is not comprehensive or customized.	There are comprehensive onboarding procedures in place, and most are carried out with all employees.	New staff members are offered innovative, customized onboarding programs tailored for their unique needs.	Review documents describing onboarding procedures.

Page 25 Human Resources

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Performance	The organization has formal	There is no performance	Basic performance appraisals	Performance appraisals	All key staff members have	There is a well-functioning	Review policies and
Management	systems for performance	management or appraisal	are conducted, but not	regularly take place at the	individual development	performance management	procedures documents
	management	system in place; staff	always regularly. The system	end of each year, but the	plans. The full performance	system in place. Supervisors	describing performance
		members are not appraised.	is poorly documented, and	relationship to a formal	management cycle is in place,	and employees develop work	management process and
			there is no linkage to work	performance management	including probationary and	plans jointly, and staff	templates. If permitted, ask
			plans and performance	system is not clear to	' ''	members receive regular	to see the development
			objectives.	employees.		feedback and appraisals.	plans of a number of
						Appraisals are properly	randomly selected staff
						documented. Line managers	members.
						have been trained in	
						appraisal skills.	

Page 26 Human Resources

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Award, Agreement, and Donor Management	Scores Organization has a well-functioning monitoring system for managing its agreements and incoming awards	The organization does not meet the donor's requirements of the agreement. No documented policy and procedure guidelines in place. Executed award documents are not on file and key staff members have no access to award documents. Key staff members are not aware of the applicable award terms and conditions.	No documented policy and procedure guidelines in place. Executed award documents are available on file but only the project director has access to the award documents. Only the project director is are aware of the applicable award terms and conditions. The organization is partially compliant in meeting the donor's requirements of the agreement, and submits complete and timely requests for approvals, technical deliverables, and reports.	Executed award documents are available on file but only limited key staff members have access to the award documents. Not all key staff members are aware of the applicable award terms and conditions. The organization meets the donor's requirements of the agreement by submitting complete	guidelines are substantially developed. Executed award documents are available on file and all key project staff members have access to the award documents. All key staff members are aware of the applicable award	policy and procedures guidelines. Award terms and conditions relating to financial and program reporting are observed and adhered to. All award documents are kept on file and key staff members have access to and knowledge of the applicable award terms and conditions. The	Review external audit reports, including written feedback; internal audit reports; compliance reports; and would-be donor and self-administered capacity assessment reports.
Compliance Management (Donor, Host Country, and Internal)	Organization has a well- developed and functional system to identify, operationalize, and evaluate adherence to various compliance requirements	No donor compliance policy and procedure guidelines exist. The organization is not cognizant of various applicable rules and regulations and is wholly noncompliant.	The organization has a limited awareness of rules and regulations, and is only partially compliant. No compliance policy and procedure guidelines exist.	Policy and procedure guidelines are partially developed, but systems are not in place to ensure full compliance. Copies of applicable donor, host country, and internal rules and regulations are maintained on-site. The organization is substantially compliant with rules and regulations. A system exists for meeting programmatic deliverables, such as technical deliverables and reports.	Policy and procedure guidelines are substantially developed and systems are in place to ensure full compliance. The organization is compliant with rules and regulations. A system exists for meeting programmatic deliverables, such as technical deliverables and reports.	The organization has documented policy and procedure guidelines. Compliance checklists specific to the donor and host country are in place and are periodically administered. Staff members are oriented and trained on donor, host country, and internal compliance requirements. Compliance assessments are periodically conducted, compliance gaps identified, and remedial action formulated and accordingly implemented. A system exists for meeting programmatic deliverables, such as technical deliverables and reports. The organization is compliant with rules and regulations.	Review external audit reports, internal audit reports, compliance reports, would-be and donor and self-administered capacity assessment reports.

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Grants Management	Organization has a well- functioning monitoring and support system for achieving technical and programmatic targets and goals as stated in donor agreement, as well as procedures for compliant selection, start-up, monitoring, support, and close-out	systems in place to monitor its grant-making processes nor its grantees. No preaward assessments are conducted in selecting sub-awardees.	No documented policy and procedure guidelines exist. Organization is aware of preaward requirements, risk assessments, and monitoring and support requirements, but these initiatives are not fully enforced.	Organization performs some preaward assessment and risk assessment, but lacks postaward monitoring and support initiatives. Sub-agreement templates are	Policy and procedure guidelines are substantially developed. Preaward assessment, risk assessment, and monitoring and support are substantially performed. Relevant grants management templates are developed and actually in use.	Organization has documented policy and procedure guidelines in place. Sub-awardee preaward surveys, risk assessments, and postaward monitoring and support activities are conducted as required. Adequate and relevant sub-grants management tools for start-up, monitoring, and close-out are developed and in use. Relevant sub-agreement templates are used and periodically reviewed for changing circumstances.	administered capacity assessment reports.
Supportive Supervision to Sub- awardees	Organization has a system for supportive supervision and capacity building to help sub- awardees meet technical and programmatic targets	assistance (TA) or supportive supervision. Sub-awardees do not	Sub-awardees occasionally adhere to donor policies. Technical and programmatic deliverables are occasionally met, on time, and accurate.	technical and programmatic deliverables are met, and that reporting of targets is always met, on time, and accurate, through regular supportive supervision, TA, and shared policies. Sub-awardees adhere to donor policies and receive some capacity-building assistance as well as access to	The organization ensures that technical and programmatic deliverables are regularly met, and that reporting of targets is always met, on time, and accurate, through regular supportive supervision. Sub-awardees adhere to donor policies and receive a good level of capacity-building assistance as well as access to tools and support.	that reporting of targets is always met, on time, and accurate, through regular supportive	Review external audit reports, internal audit reports, financial reports from grantees, compliance reports, and would-be donor and self-administered capacity assessment reports.

Capacity Area: Office Operations

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Procurement System	Organization has a procurement system that maximizes competition, obtains best value, and observes compliance requirements	There are no documented policy and procedure guidelines. Procurement is performed in a haphazard manner and is not guided by any systems. Authorization and approval levels are not developed. There are no forms to regulate transaction execution. System does not meet basic donor requirements.	There are no documented policy and procedure guidelines in place. Basic procurement steps are followed, though inconsistently. Relevant procurement forms are being developed to strengthen procurement process. Organization is not cognizant of donor procurement requirements. Full and open competition to the extent practical is not observed.	Documented policy and procedure guidelines are partially developed. Purchase thresholds, authorization levels, requisitioning, ordering, and receiving processes are defined and most often followed. Relevant procurement forms are fully developed and in use. Organization is aware of donor procurement requirements. Full and open competition to the extent practical is inconsistently observed.	Documented policy and procedure guidelines are substantially developed. Purchase thresholds, authorization levels, requisitioning, ordering, and receiving processes are clearly followed. Relevant procurement forms are fully developed and in use. Organization is fully aware of donor procurement requirements. Full and open competition to the extent practical is consistently observed.	Organization has documented procurement policy and procedure guidelines. Procurement procedures clearly stipulate purchase thresholds, authorization levels, requisitioning, ordering, and receiving processes. Internal requisition forms, purchase order forms, and goods received forms are developed and used as required. The system meets donor requirements and supports full and open competition. IN ADDITION, two of the following are true: *Procurement systems have passed an audit at least once; *Procurement of essentials is efficient and seamless; *The organization has shown ability to handle major procurements efficiently.	
Security and Safety Management	Organization has a security system that effectively identifies and manages all physical and staff security	There are no documented policy and procedure guidelines in place. Access to assets, facilities, and resources is not restricted at all. Security measures are nonexistent.	There are no documented policy and procedure guidelines in place. Access to assets, facilities, and resources is not restricted at all. Only very basic security measures are in place.	' ' '	Documented security policy and procedure guidelines are substantially developed. Security around physical assets, facilities, and cash resources is adequately effected. Communication trees and evacuation plans are in place, drills / mock evacuations are conducted, and safety equipment is tested for functionality. Security risks are clearly identified and reviewed for changing circumstances.	staff safety, facilities access,	Review internal/external audit reports and compliance review reports; review security policies/procedures, business continuation plan, communication trees, and evacuation plans. Inquire of staff about security/safety training.

Page 29 Office Operations

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal of Not ready for tr	ransition	Emerging capac Transition not a Little chance of	advisable	Minimal accep capacity May be consid transition Some chance of sustainability	ered for	Good level of ca Ready for trans functions Good chance of sustainability	ition of	Excellent level of Ready for full or independence Excellent chance sustainability	rganizational	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Physical Infrastructure: Buildings and Office Space	Organization has sufficient office space, meeting space, and equipment for handling its business	There is no office used is borrowed and do not meet requirements.	or improvised	Inadequate infras and/or equipmen of effectiveness a There is insufficie for individual em space for teamwo	nt results in loss and efficiency. ant workspace ployees and no	Physical infrastri equipment can be well enough to some important and in There is no good teamwork and in holding confider employees share	pe made to work wit the most mmediate needs. I office space for o possibility of otial discussions;	The organization adequate physica and equipment for needs. There is suindividual and tea and possibility for discussions.	I infrastructure or its current officient office space,	Physical infrastruction equipment are we current and anticineeds, well design thought out to enlorganization's efficieffectiveness. Pler space encourages layout increases clinteractions amon	ell tailored to pated future ned and hance ciency and ntiful office teamwork and ritical	Tour office space and review physical infrastructure.
Technological Infrastructure: Telephone and Fax	Organization has sufficient telephone and fax facilities, which allow for efficient and effective communication	There are no tele facilities in the off members' mobile handsets are borr making business of facilities are outso external sources.	fice. Staff phone rowed for calls. Fax	There is a limited telephone and far to-day effectiven efficiency is impe	x facilities. Day - ess and	accessible to mo system may be r	ex facilities est staff; the moderately riendly but lacks that would	There are solid te fax facilities acces staff; facilities cat day communicatic essentially no pro include additional contribute to effe efficiency.	sible to entire er to day-to- on needs with blems and features that	Organization has s and reliable teleph facilities accessible These are supplen additional equipm mobile phones for	none and fax e to all staff. nented by nent such as	Tour office space and review available telephones and fax machines for staff. Inquire about the availability of mobile phones and pagers.
Asset and Property Management	Organization has a system and process in place to manage and protect assets and property	There are no docu and procedure gu Management of a property is not at	uidelines. assets and	The organization documented poliprocedure guidel No inventory logs assets are not reg Asset location is r insurance is not s secured, assets al and tagged for earlientification. Assemanagement is n and organization comply with donor requirements.	cy and ines in place. s are kept, and gularly verified. not tracked, ystematically re not marked asy set ot segregated, does not	The organization documented pol procedure guide logs are kept the updated, and as occasionally veri location is tracked systematically seare marked and identification. As management is and organization with donor disporequirements.	clicy and clines. Inventory ough not sets are fied. Asset ed, insurance is ecured, assets tagged for easy sset fairly segregated in does comply	The organization substantially document and procedure guill inventory logs are and assets are regales asset location is to insurance is systematically secured, assets are tagged for easy id asset management segregated and on does comply with requirements.	imented policy idelines. e kept updated, gularly verified. racked, matically re marked and lentification. It is adequately rganization	The organization hadocumented policiprocedure guideling maintenance, servand disposal. Invecorrectly maintain updated, physical conducted, asset I tracked, and adeq is secured. Asset roles are adequate and donor compliar requirements for a are actually observance.	ey and mes for asset vicing, transfer, ntory logs are ned and verification is location is luate insurance management ely segregated, ance asset disposal	Review inventory log, inventory/property managemnt policies/procedures, internal/external audit reports, and cmpliance review reports.

Page 30 Office Operations

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Motor Vehicle Management	Organization has a system that manages, protects, and controls motor vehicle usage	There are no documented policy and procedure guidelines. Motor vehicle use is not authorized or approved. There are no log books in place, insurance coverage is not systematically secured, and repairs and maintenance are not planned. No safety measures for vehicle use are observed. No distinction is made between business and personal use of vehicles.	No documented policy and procedure guidelines are in place. Motor vehicle use is not authorized or approved. Log books are in place but are not correctly completed. Insurance coverage is systematically secured, and repairs and maintenance are planned for, but warranty requirements are not observed. No safety measures for vehicle use are observed. No distinction is made between business and personal use.	Documented policy and procedure guidelines are partially developed. Motor vehicle use is not authorized and approved. Log books are in place and correctly completed. Insurance coverage is systematically secured, repairs and maintenance are planned for, and warranty requirements are observed. Safety measures for vehicle use are observed. No distinction is made between business and personal use.		The organization has documented usage policy and procedure guidelines in place. Authorization procedures, log book management, repairs and maintenance, insurance coverage, and fuel control processes are documented and followed. Motor vehicles are fitted with fire extinguishers, first aid kits, spare wheels, and pen flashlights to meet safety requirements. Warranty requirements are adequately met. Vehicles are used for business purposes only, or for both business and personal purposes based on clearly established and noncontroversial policies concerning benefits to employees.	Review vehicle use policy/procedures, vehicle logs, internal/external audit reports, and compliance review reports; review current vehicle insurance policies, and ask to see vehicles to examine condition and availability of proper safety equipment.
Travel Management	: Organization has a travel management system that efficiently manages both domestic and international travel	No policy and procedure guidelines are in place. Travel authorizations, approvals, and advance issuance and liquidation processes are not observed. No distinction is made between international and domestic travel.	No policy and procedure guidelines are in place. Travel authorizations, approvals, and advance issuance processes are observed in a limited manner. Advance liquidation is not accurately or timely accounted for. No distinction is made between international and domestic travel.	Policy and procedure guidelines are partially developed. Travel authorizations, approvals, and advance issuance and liquidation processes are partially observed. A clear accounting distinction is made between international and domestic travel.	Policy and procedure guidelines are substantially developed. Travel authorizations, approvals, and advance issuance and liquidation processes are largely observed. A clear accounting distinction is made between international and domestic travel. Appropriate per diem rates are utilized.	The organization has documented policy and adequate procedure guidelines for both international and domestic travel. Appropriate per diem rates in line with donor and host-country requirements are used at all times. Travel authorization, prior approvals, and advance issuance and liquidation processes are accurately observed as required.	

Page 31 Office Operations

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minima Not ready for No chance of		Emerging capa Transition not Little chance o	advisable	Minimal accepta capacity May be conside transition Some chance of sustainability	red for	Good level of ca Ready for trans functions Good chance of sustainability	ition of	Excellent level Ready for full of independence Excellent chand sustainability	organizational	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Records and	Organization has a	No policy and p	rocedure	No policy and pro	ocedure	Policy and proced	ure guidelines	Policy and proced	lure guidelines	The organization	has	Review internal/external
Information	management system	guidelines are i	n place.	guidelines are in	place.	are partially devel	oped.	are substantially	developed.	documented pol	icy and	audit reports and
Management	that manages	Disjointed pape	er-based filing is in	Document filing	facilities are	Document filing fa	cilities are	Document filing f	acilities are	procedure guide	lines in place.	compliance review
	records filing,	place. Docume	nt filing facilities	scarcely secured	and access is	adequate and rea	sonably	adequate. Staff m	nembers are	Filing facilities ar	e fully secured	reports. View filing
	retention, and	are not secured	d and access is	largely unrestrict	ted. Some staff	secured, and acce	ss is fairly	aware of records	filing,	and access to red	cords	systems (paper based
	archiving, observing	largely unrestri	cted.	members are aw	are of records	restricted. Staff m	embers are	retention, and ar	chiving	adequately restr	icted. Records	and electronic) and
	legal and compliance	Organization is	not aware of	filing, retention,	and archiving	aware of records i	retention	requirements and	fully comply	filing and retenti	on periods for	records retention
	requirements	records retenti	on requirements	requirements, bu	ut compliance	requirements and	largely comply	with retention re	quirements.	host country, do	nor, and	policies, and conduct
		and hence is no	oncompliant.	with requiremen	its is lacking.	with retention red	uirements.	Paper filing syste	m is fully	organization are	documented	spot checks of 10 files.
				Filing is largely p	aper based with	Paper-based filing	is well	developed. Electr	onic filing has	and fully observe	ed. Electronic	Inquire of staff about the
				little and unorga	nized electronic	developed, but ele	ectronic filing	been developed b	out still	filing is fully deve	eloped and well	availability and
				filing.		is selectively deve	loped and	duplicates paper	filing on	complemented v	vith an equally	organization of files.
						utilized.		occasion.		efficient but min	imal paper-	
										based filing syste	em.	

Page 32 Office Operations

Capacity Area: Information Technology

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Technological Infrastructure: Computers, Applications, Network and Internet Facilities	Organization has state-of-the-art, fully networked and integrated computing resources. Organization maintains servers for key applications either in-house or via a hosted service		applications, and network	Organization is well equipped at central level but limited at satellite locations. Equipment sharing is common. There is a basic Web site that contains general information on current developments. Site maintenance is occasionally performed. Internet access is stable but has bandwidth limitations.	software infrastructure accessible by central and	the-art, fully networked computing hardware with a comprehensive range of upto-date software applications. All staff members have individual computer access and e-mail. There is a comprehensive	Review internal/external audit reports, compliance reports, and self-administered capacity assessment reports. Check availability of Internet connection. Inquire of staff members about difficulties with Internet access. Review computing resources on-site for functionality and review organizational Web site.
Software Systems	Systems are in place that allow the organization to track, report, and transform contracts and grants data and program data; organization is using a formal accounting system	systems has occurred, but there is insufficient infrastructure and support to maintain needed levels of	The organization is accessing data via basic systems (e.g., Excel, Access) and exploring in-house and hosted options to allow for scalability. Support is insufficient.	The organization is accessing and updating data via basic systems (e.g., Excel, Access) and has either improved infrastructure sufficiently to allow for scalability or identified a hosted solution that will meet needed infrastructure standards. Organization is exploring system options beyond the basic that will improve performance and level of service. Support for systems is inconsistent.	The organization is accessing, updating, and reporting data via basic systems (e.g., Excel, Access) and has either improved infrastructure sufficiently to allow for scalability or identified a hosted solution that will meet needed infrastructure standards. Organization has identified a system beyond the basic that will improve performance and level of service. Systems are supported more consistently.	The organization is accessing, updating, and reporting data via a system comparable to or better than the industry standard or current Foundation systems at global level (GP, GLASER, CGIS) and has either improved infrastructure sufficiently to allow for scalability or identified a hosted solution that will meet needed infrastructure standards. Systems are supported consistently.	Review relevant internal/external audit reports, compliance reports, and self-administered capacity assessment reports. View electronic versions of business systems for accounting, M&E, budgeting, contracts/grants management, and other relevant areas. Ask staff about usability of systems and availability of support.

Page 33

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minim Not ready fo No chance o sustainabilit	or transition f	Emerging of Transition Little chan sustainabi	not advisable ce of	of capacity	sidered for ce of		nce of	Ready for organizate independent	tional dence chance of	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Software Licensing	Organization is	The organizat	ion has an	The organiz	ation has a formal	The organiza	tion has a forma	The organiz	zation has a	The organi	ization has a	Review internal/external audit
	current (uses the	informal list o	f purchased	list of all so	ftware, tracking	list of all soft	ware that tracks	software lis	st that tracks total	software li	ist that tracks total	reports, compliance reports,
	updated version)	software but	does not follow	the total nu	mber of licenses	total number	r of licenses	number of	licenses	number of	flicenses	and self-administered capacity
	and keeps a list of	detailed licens	sing	purchased.		purchased, e	xpiration dates,	purchased,	expiration dates,	purchased	l, expiration dates,	assessment reports. Review
	all software	procedures.				and renewal	dates/prices.	and renewa	al dates/prices.	and renew	val dates/prices.	list of software licenses.
	licenses, which can									The list is r	monitored to	
	be presented on									ensure tha	at the organization	
	demand									does not g	go over the licensed	
										number of	f users.	

Page 34

Capacity Area: Resource Mobilization

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Identification and Tracking of Funding Opportunities	Scores The organization has a systematic manner of identifying and tracking new funding opportunities in order to make strategic decisions	The organization has not identified or reacted to any funding opportunity. It does not seek out available opportunities or gather in-country funding intelligence.	tracking or assessment takes place.	The organization has the "WHAT, HOW": a formal process for tracking and identifying opportunities. Organization does not have a formal planning process for bidding or strategic direction to drive resource mobilization efforts. Organization is largely reactive to opportunities.	The organization has the "WHAT, HOW, WHEN": a formal process for tracking and identifying opportunities and a formal planning process for bidding. Organization does not have strategic direction to drive resource mobilization efforts.	9 10 The organization has the "WHAT, HOW, WHEN, WHY": a formal process for tracking and identifying opportunities, a formal planning process for bidding, and a strategic direction to drive resource mobilization efforts.	Review internal process for tracking and disseminating funding opportunities, utilizing a database or other searchable mechanism.
Capacity to Develop Funding Proposals	There is satisfactory capacity to write, budget, partner on, and manage the proposal development process.	The organization does not have the capacity to develop and submit proposals.	The organization has the capacity to develop simple concepts for projects, but does not have the capacity to think through cost implications and develop budgets or full proposals.	The organization has the capacity to develop high-level concept papers and can think through cost implications to develop budget summaries.		The organization has the capacity to develop complex proposals that include extensive staffing plans, detailed budgets, full narratives, and implementation plans.	Review proposals for compliance, validity, technical soundness, and cost-effectiveness.
Success Rate in Winning Bids	Organization has been successful in raising donor funds	Organization has not won funds via grant application. Organization has not completed or submitted a large proposal.	Organization has written and completed the submission of at least one major or two small proposals to obtain funding for its activities.	Organization has written a number of proposals and won at least one. However, proposals are frequently poorly framed, developed, and completed; and organization staff members consider their chances of winning future bids below the norm for comparable organizations.	Organization has won at least two proposals and feels confident in its capacity to put together well-presented, complete, and timely proposals. There is, however, a good deal of improvisation and opportunism in seeking and winning grants. Some proposals are poorly aligned with the organization's mission.	Organization regularly tracks possible grants to apply for and regularly completes proposals for grants that match its mission. It has won a number of proposals and considers its win rate to be reasonably strong.	Review completed proposals, awarded grants, and financing opportunities tracking plan (or a list of sources of funding examined or researched via Web sites).

Page 35 Resource Mobilization

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability		External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
Resource	Scores Organization relies on	1 2	3 4 The organization has	5 6 The organization has two or	7 8 The organization has	9 10 The organization has	Review guidelines for
Diversification	a diversified resource		_	more sources of funding.	established guidelines for	diversified sources of	resource diversification,
	base	project and from its		Leaders of the organization	the balance it would like	funding that meet its	memorandum from board
		initial source of funding	source of funding. There	are taking steps to diversify	to maintain between	guidelines for	or executive director,
		only. There has been no	• ,	funding sources. No single	different sources of	diversification. No single	annual financial report.
		attempt to obtain	-	project contributes more	funding. No single project	project contributes more	
		funding from other		than 90% of all funds.	contributes more than	than 50% of all funds.	
		sources.	taken up in an ad hoc manner. One single		70% of all funds.		
			project may still				
			contribute more than				
			90% of all funds.				

Page 36 Resource Mobilization

Capacity Area: Networking

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Other Nongovernmental Implementers	Organization has relations with other implementers, including community-based organizations and actors, in order to coordinate service delivery and avoid duplication of services	The organization works in isolation. There is no knowledge of the strategies or work of other organizations.	· ·	There have been discussions with other organizations about specific opportunities for collaboration, and there have been joint activities.	When planning projects there is always internal discussion as well as consultation with other organizations; regular joint activities are done involving formal or informal agreements. However, networking happens within technical or senior-management level without a lot of information sharing.	The organization has numerous formal partnerships with a range of organizations to ensure comprehensive service delivery. Most involve formal agreements and/or joint funding. Networking happens at both senior and technical levels and is encouraged.	Review partnership agreements. Ask senior managers or program directors what partnerships they have for each project.
Relevant Government Agencies	Organization has relations with government entities for coordinated implementation and/or advocacy for policy change	The organization has no meetings or relations with relevant government agencies. There is little or no knowledge of relevant government policies or service plans.	The organization has some knowledge of relevant government agencies' health policies and plans, but there have been no discussions or meetings.	The organization has knowledge of relevant government health policies and plans. Managers discuss these matters and how the organization should work within these parameters. Organization has met with government at national and/or district levels for advocacy and information exchange at least once.	The organization has had multiple meetings with relevant government agencies at national and/or district levels to jointly plan; organization participates in technical working groups and has knowledge of their plans/policies.	The organization has regularly scheduled meetings with relevant government agencies at national and/or district levels, has detailed knowledge of their plans and policies, participates in technical working groups, and engages in joint planning and/or evidence-based advocacy.	Review memorandums of understanding (MOUs) with government agencies. Ask senior managers regarding their relationship with government entities.

Page 37 Networking

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Partnerships for Technical Assistance	Organization has partnerships for provision of technical assistance from agencies (including UN agencies, large nongovernmental organizations, local universities, and others) and knows where it can get technical assistance when needed	The organization has no contact with or knowledge of the activities or competencies of technical agencies in the country.	some knowledge about technical competencies of some agencies, but it relies on outside support (from hired consultants or partner organizations) to make	put into contact with technical agencies and technical staff, and staff	The organization knows where it can turn for technical assistance but has no ongoing formal relationship with outside technical agencies.	The organization has regular, formal, ongoing partnerships with multiple technical agencies.	Ask senior managers or staff members dedicated to knowledge management (if any) which technical agencies they have partnerships with. Review MOUs with technical partners.
Relations with Potential Donors for Funding	potential donors and keeps them informed		prioritized funding needs, has begun to research potential	The organization has contacts with some key donors, and there is planning for regular meetings with them. These meetings occur, but not regularly.	The organization has regular contact with most if not all donors. There is general knowledge of donor strategy.	potential donors and has regular contact with them.	Review donor relations strategy document if one exists. Ask development staff members regarding the organization's efforts to build donor relationships.

Page 38 Networking

Capacity Area: Communications

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10	
Branding/ Messaging	Organization has an official name, a mission statement, a logo, and clearly defined messages to communicate its mission to the public.	The organization does not have an official name, logo, or clearly defined mission statement; or one or more of the above are not properly aligned. Organization has not designed a logo or produced messages to communicate its mission and work to the public.	The organization leadership has created a clear, concise mission statement and a list of three or four goals that will help accomplish that mission.	in stage 2, the organization has a logo that is eye catching and easy to reproduce, and matches the organization's mission and goals. Organization has developed one message that conveys its mission in a few words and can be used in	In addition to accomplishing the tasks in stages 2 and 3, organization has developed conversational messages that communicate its mission and goals to a variety of audiences and in various formats, both online and in print. Messaging is used with consistency across all platforms.	The organization has a clear and concise mission statement. It has a professionally designed logo that is recognizable and easy to reproduce, along with brief branding guidelines for its use. Organization has a tagline that communicates its mission in a few words, as well as a series of goals and messages that communicate specific aspects of the mission. It has the capacity to modify and create new messaging when needed.	Review the mission statement, logo, and messages for conciseness and clarity.
Media/Public Relations	Organization has a media strategy, including a process to identify and communicate with media (including print, broadcast, and online), respond to media requests, and produce press releases/ statements.	The organization does not have any trained media staff or capacity to produce press releases or media materials, or a strategy for communicating its messages to the media.	designated staff members to respond to media requests, but it has no strategy for proactive outreach and no capacity for		person trained to identify and communicate with media, write and distribute press releases, arrange media	The organization has at least one full-time staff person devoted solely to developing media strategy, identifying and communicating with media, writing and distributing press releases and other media materials, arranging media interviews, and managing communications at high-profile events.	Review media-trained staff, templates for press releases and other media materials, and lists of media contacts.

Page 39 Communications

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability	Emerging capacity Transition not advisable Little chance of sustainability	Minimal acceptable level of capacity May be considered for transition Some chance of sustainability	Good level of capacity Ready for transition of functions Good chance of sustainability	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability	External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)	
	Scores	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10		
Online Presence	Organization has an engaging, fully functional Web site and can communicate with a	The organization does not have a Web site nor the capacity to communicate online with potential donors/supporters.	The organization has registered a URL and hired staff to create online content. Site is not operational and online communication has not been initiated.	pages, communicating basic mission and goals.	10–12 pages, which can be modified through a content management system. Content is added on a monthly basis. Organization has begun compiling addresses for an e-mail list of supporters.	dynamic Web site and registered URL. Web site is professionally designed and	tracking tool to evaluate number and length of site visits.	

Page 40 Communications

Indicator Names	Indicator Descriptions	No or minimal capacity Not ready for transition No chance of sustainability			Little chance of			for transition Some chance of				ance of	Excellent level of capacity Ready for full organizational independence Excellent chance of sustainability			External Validation (Please note: Items in this column may not be exhaustive)
	Scores	1		2	3	4	4	5	1	6	7	8	9		10	
Brochures/ Collateral Materials	Organization has a well- designed set of collateral materials for marketing	The organi	ization has, brochu cations, i	as no res, or nor the	The organ created a sheet or to brochure a produced house usin publishing	nization has basic factorial b	has ct s work, nted in- op hired a ite ures	The organi engaged a create a vi	desigr sually profes ochure ates th	has ner to ssionally that ne ission,	The organ well-estak relationsh designer. designed which it u annually. create issi sheets and	ization has a olished ip with a It has a well- brochure, pdates It has begun to ue-specific fact d reports on spects of its	The organize professional brochure, we communicate with engage imagery. It specific factors	ally de which ates its ing co produt shee nformatits was of its lt has produice nents, aterial	has a signed signed s mission py and uces issuests and in the work. It ual report and progress the uce printed postcards, is to	

Page 41 Communications